Murray City Municipal Council Chambers Murray City, Utah

he Municipal Council of Murray City, Utah, met on Tuesday, the 23rd day of August, 2011 at 6:30 p.m., for a meeting held in the Murray City Council Chambers, 5025 South State Street, Murray, Utah.

Roll Call consisted of the following:

Jim Brass, Council Chair
Krista Dunn, Council Member
Darren Stam, Council Member

Jared Shaver, Council Member - Conducted

Jeff Dredge, Council Member

Others who attended:

Dan Snarr, Mayor

Jan Wells, Chief of Staff

Brent Davidson, Deputy City Recorder

Frank Nakamura, City Attorney

Craig Burnett, Assistant Police Chief

Tim Tingey, Administrative & Developmental Services

Pat Wilson, Finance Director

Mike Terry, Human Resources Director
Doug Hill, Public Services Director
Susan Gregory, Heritage Center Director

Kasey Heaton, Police Department

Citizens Scouts

6. OPENING CEREMONIES

6.1 Pledge of Allegiance - Jaden King, Boy Scout Troop #928

6.2 Mr. Shaver stated that it is a tradition in Murray City to have the Scouts in attendance stand and introduce themselves, their Scout Leaders, and the Merit Badges that they are working on.

The Scouts introduced themselves.

6.3 Approval of Minutes for July 19, 2011

Call vote taken, All Ayes.

6.4 Special Recognition

None scheduled.

7. <u>CITIZEN COMMENTS</u> (Comments are limited to 3 minutes unless otherwise approved by the Council.)

Ben Brown, 4705 S Rainbow Drive, Murray, Utah

Mr. Brown said that with Grecian Gardens and the other buildings being gone, there is a lot of speculation as to what is going on at the corner of 4800 South and State Street.

Mr. Tingey stated the City and the Redevelopment Agency purchased the property and cleared it in the last few months; the purpose of that was to begin some reinvestment efforts down in this area and to clean up the site; they have been looking at a variety of options for site, including an application that they have in to the County for the possibility of future funding of a performing arts facility. There are a lot of things that have to occur, a lot of funding questions that have to occur, but that is one potential option. They are looking at parking structures for the whole downtown and once again, funding for that is an issue that they are working towards.

They are trying to facilitate redevelopment and change, and are partnering with private organizations as well as having some investments from the Redevelopment Agency and the City. There are no specific plans right now-there are a lot of questions that need to be answered and things that they are working towards, but one of the real goals was to try to eliminate some of the blight that was on those properties and the City has made that first step.

Mr. Brass added that they do have an overall plan for the downtown area, they just don't have one for that specific site. One of the things that they are looking at is paving where that building was-doing an overlay of that site and striping for a

parking. Parking is one of the biggest issues that the downtown area has and if they can draw people in, that will help the existing businesses and accelerate this redevelopment.

Mr. Shaver recommended that if you watch public notices, any kind of meeting that is happening regarding what is happening down there, they do them frequently; you can go to the website and it will say when the meeting is and what the issue is, and people can have input on these things. Also, watch what is happening-there are plans and things that you can look at that as well. The City wants your input, so keep informed.

Ms. Dunn added that there are a lot of things going on behind the scenes right now, as interested parties, developers, commercial and residential, come in to talk to the City about what they can and can't do in that area, and build their interest in the area.

Public comment closed

8. CONSENT AGENDA

None scheduled

9. PUBLIC HEARINGS

9.1.1 <u>Staff and sponsor presentations and public comment prior to Council action on the following matter:</u>

Consider an Ordinance amending the Fiscal Year 2011-2012 City Budget.

Staff presentation: Patricia Wilson, Finance and Administration Director

Ms. Wilson stated that this Ordinance is to amend the existing Fiscal Year 2012 Budget; there are basically five items that have prompted changes to this existing budget:

- 1. Creation of a budget for the Telecommunications Enterprise Fund; Earlier, the Council created the Telecommunications Fund but, at that time there was no funding created for it. This is setting up a budget for the Telecommunications Fund, which is a fund for the billing activities for those residents that are signing up for fiber connection services within the City. They are proposing a transfer of \$100,000 from the General Funds non-departmental UTOPIA legal budget to the UIA Telecommunications Enterprise Fund for payments of funds costs.
- 2. An increase to the existing General Fund for the Strategic Plan; the City

desires to hire a consultant to help with strategic planning. The original budget included \$50,000, but it has been determined that an additional \$50,000 may be helpful to be appropriated. The funding would be an additional amount from the General Fund reserves.

- 3. An increase in the existing General Fund Budget for relocation costs that have become necessary as a part of the reorganization with the City; an estimate of \$30,000 has been given as an amount needed to maintain and achieve efficiency of the city employees due to relocation of departments. The Ordinance amends the General Fund, and it would be funded from reserves.
- 4. A payment which became necessary to be paid to the Utah Retirement System for an employee's prior year service; as part of the early retirement incentive offered to city employees, it was discovered that the City owed the URS an additional amount for some prior service of one of the employees, and there needs to be a budget revision of \$12,000 to the Treasurer Division in the new Administrative Services Department; again, the funding for this will come from the General Fund reserves.
- 5. Additional retiree health insurance costs; the General Fund, the Power Fund, the Water Fund and the Parkway Fund budgets need to be given additional appropriation for the health insurance costs that were given as part of the early retirement incentive. These amounts will be funded from the various funds unreserved balances, and the amounts would be \$63,132 for the General Fund, \$25,659 for the Power Fund, \$10,945 for the Water Fund, and \$2,010 for the Parkway Recreation Fund.

In summary, these budget revisions would be asking for an increase to the General Fund budget of \$155,132 with funding from Fiscal Year 2012 from unreserved balance. Also, there would be a decrease to the General Fund budget of \$100,000 which would be transferred to the Telecommunications Fund and the budgets of the Power would be increased, Water would be increased and Parkway increased for the funding for the health insurance and the funding would be provided by the various unreserved balance funds.

Mr. Stam asked: on item #3, for the \$30,000 on the office space modification-has there been an actual review and estimate done for the materials and actual costs?

Ms. Wilson said that there has been an updated revision to that number, and the new number, which is perhaps more reflective of those costs, are \$14,135, which would basically take that down by half.

Mr. Brass said that on item #3, they budgeted \$30,000 and the estimate is \$14,410; he would propose that if the cost comes in under the \$30,000, that the excess be put into the Capital Improvement Fund and actually fund that.

The Council agreed to leave the item as is, and any monies not used be put into the Capital Improvement Fund.

Public Hearing opened for public comment

None given.

Public comment closed

9.	1.	2	Council	consideration	of	the	above	matter.

Mr. Brass made a motion to adopt the ordinance.

Mr. Dredge 2nd the motion.

Call vote recorded by Brent Davidson.

A Ms. Dunn

A Mr. Dredge

A Mr. Stam

A Mr. Brass

A Mr. Shaver

Motion passed 5-0

9.2.1 <u>Staff and sponsor presentations, and public comment prior to Council</u> action on the following matter:

Consider an ordinance amending Sections 17.48.120 and 17.48.200 of the <u>Murray City Municipal Code</u> to allow on-premise electronic message centers for properties adjacent to I-15 and I-215 in the G-O zoning district.

Staff Presentation: Tim Tingey, Administrative and Developmental Services

Mr. Tingey stated that this item was presented at the Planning Commission meeting on July 7, 2011; after a Public Hearing, the Planning Commission forwarded a recommendation of denial of this request.

The applicant is proposing changes to the ordinance; the current area that they are looking at is in a General Office zone-the purpose of that zone is to be a buffer or transition area between residential and commercial or manufacturing areas. It is also to minimize the impacts on adjacent properties-specifically residential areas. Their proposal is to allow for electronic message signs in the General Office area adjacent to I-215 and I-15 and properties adjacent to those two roads.

Electronic message signs are not allowed as off-premise signs; billboards are not allowed currently in our ordinance. This would be an on-premise sign, they have designated that in their application; they are also proposing the same size and height as signs allowed in C-D-C and Manufacturing General zones. Electronic message signs are allowed in those two zones, so electronic on-premise signs are allowed in those two zones through a conditional use permit, up to a maximum of up to 300 square foot and 35 feet in height. They are proposing that same proposal in the General Office zone adjacent to I-15 and I-215.

Staff has concerns with this-you have seen it in the minutes as well as the staff report, primarily because of that issue of a buffer or transition area, so we are recommending denial of this and that the Council uphold the recommendation of the Planning Commission.

Mr. Stam: the biggest reason that you have a denial to it is that, even though it is along the corridor and would probably be ok, it would affect all of the other G.O. zones, which would then allow it to happen in the other areas which would not then be conducive to the neighbors.

Mr. Tingey stated that is correct. They have looked at it- there are General Office zones along I-215 and I-15, multiple properties that would be adjacent that are General Office, and it would impact multiple properties. Even though with this proposal there wasn't a substantial impact, it would impact others. Options that they have, and they have had discussions with them to a degree on this, is looking at a rezone; one of the issues with the property they have is that it was originally agricultural and the General Plan has it designated as General Office right now and in the future; the option of a rezone or modification to the land use ordinance would be their two options, and they have concerns with this specifically.

Public Hearing opened for public comment

Dee Ann Leatherman, 2082 E. 5290 S. Holliday, Utah

Ms. Leatherman stated that she is the one who submitted the application; the Council has read through the minutes and some of her previous comments. She stated that these signs

are very essential to businesses; throughout her comments she mentioned the increase in adoptions that the Humane Society had when they added an electronic message center. They recently received a donation from someone who wants them to upgrade those so that you can see the pictures of the animals better-higher resolution-so more pets will be adopted. They do have an impact on how a business does.

In the G.O. zone, along the I-15 corridor, some of those properties sit below the freeway-in fact; the Harmony Home Health is 18' 6" below the freeway, so a building sign or an allowed pole sign would hardly be seen. It seems somewhat odd to her, that this is a buffer zone yet she counted approximately residential properties that are next to a commercial or manufacturing zone where they would be allowed. At Stevens Henagar, the sign itself would be over 800' away from a residential zone, and the building itself wouldn't even see the sign. One of the things that they did recommend was that this would the only pole sign, if they were allowed to do this so they could not have a pole sign on the street opposite the building.

Ms. Leatherman stated that she had included in her letter, examples of businesses that failed when they lost their signs and the loss of jobs and taxes included with that; she feels that in these economic times, she pleads with the Council to take another look at this and see if there isn't some way to help these businesses.

Vicky Dewsnup, Regional Director, Stevens Henagar College

Ms. Dewsnup stated that it is very important to the college and to Murray City- she knows that the city has expressed that they are proud to have Stevens in the area; right now they are currently serving the area with a GED program at no cost to the community. They are taking care of all of the costs for that and have had quite a bit of interest and would certainly have more. It is amazing to her that the drop-out rates in Utah are very, very high, and they are trying to educate those who didn't graduate from High School and give them the opportunity to go on for further education-whether it is with them or someone else.

They feel like the signage would make it so they could advertise as they do some of these special projects that we are able to advertise to the Murray community at large. She feels like if they could get an exception for that business, as they don't have any homes within eye-shot of that college...when they bought that property, they were unaware that there was a G.O. zone or anything like that, and it has made it difficult to get signage on that building. They have also had people that they have gone to, to get a little larger signage on the building and they have indicated that it is too hard to get signage on the buildings so they have been unable to get the proper signage for the college, and are hoping that they could do a better job by advertising the different programs that they do and helping the community.

Dan Walton, 5760 Surry Run Road, Murray

Mr. Walton stated that he is a sales executive for Young Electric Sign Company; A company like this, who bought properties specifically for their colleges along the I-15 corridor-buying in Murray, unknown to them that they were in a G.O. zone or even what a G.O. zone was, but they bought a commercial property as close to the corridor as they could so that they could eventually advertise on this property. When he called Ms. Dewsnup to let her know that it was not going to be as easy as they thought to do this because of the G.O. zone, she was shocked, as any business owner would be, who paid the money and the price to be along the I-15 corridor.

Their building has a crown molding around it and you cannot get a proper sign height and width on it-it just doesn't work well as you probably noticed. What he did, was take some pictures which he wanted to pass out to the Council.

Mr. Shaver stated that this should have been done in the Planning Commission meetings, and although he appreciates what he is doing, the issue for them at this time is, does it meet the code requirements and the pictures would not help them make that decision.

Mr. Walton said that it may not meet the code requirements, but it does kind of visually you an idea of why it should; he feels that is the important part.

Mr. Shaver recommended that, at the end of the meeting, he show the pictures to the Council and they would be happy to take a look at them.

Mr. Walton said that signs are in residential areas; digital message centers are in residential areas; he has one in his area where Cameo College is and right next door there is a home. Not knowing much about the G.O. zone-he doesn't know if other cities have that-but Stevens Henagar is putting two digital message centers in right now at their facility in Ogden, Orem and one is being looked at in Layton as well. They really need the exposure to continue to grow and to help, not only those in Ogden and Orem, but here in Murray as well.

Public comment closed

9.2.2 Council consideration of the above matter.

Mr. Shaver asked Mr. Tingey: based on what he said earlier, he wanted to be certain that it had been explained to the petitioners, exactly how to go about doing a zoning change.

Mr. Tingey stated that they have had some conversations, and that is an option for them to do a rezone; one of the challenges with that is the General Plan has designated this area

as General Office-presently and in future land uses. So it would mean both a rezone and a General Plan amendment; those two processes which can go simultaneously. That is challenge with that; they went from Agricultural to General Office and now bumping that to C.D.C – there is close proximity to residential areas. That is an option to look at for the applicant, but there are concerns with that process as well. The other option is this process which is being pursued now.

Mr. Stam stated that there is a G.O. zone over on 6400 South and that is right next to a lot of residential. So, by allowing it in this one location, it would also allow it over there since it's in the G.O. zone.

Mr. Tingey stated that is correct-if it is adjacent to I-15 and I-215, yes per this proposal.

Mr. Stam said that 6400 South is adjacent to I-215.

Mr. Brass commented that we are looking at a text amendment to a zoning ordinance; when we look at zoning ordinance changes, we technically do not look at the property or what the plan is for that property because you never know what is going to happen in the long run with that property; but as mentioned, it also impacts other G.O. areas in the City. If we say that yes, you can do it here, then we are saying that it can be done in any other area that is designated as General Office.

For him, being on the Planning and Zoning, where Mountain Medical Imaging is, that was a zone change and the original applicant was a one-story drive in bank and now it is a large two-story medical office building and the residents there went through a lot of stress and pain and there were a lot of meetings about the parking and amount of trafficso you never know what you are going to get. As Mr. Stam said, there is G.O. over on Winchester, and Make-A-Wish was there; Planning and Zoning denied that zone change for Make-A-Wish. If you want to have a nice sleepless night, tell sick kids they can't have a place to go and wish; but, the funding wasn't guaranteed for that building, and if it had been changed to G.O. to allow for the building, it could have been anything. The neighbors did not want a four-story office building which would have been allowed but they did want this; the Planning and Zoning Commission said that they could not take the chance and they voted no. Now, the Council, because they are a legislative body, overrode that decision and did it, but those are the risks you have when you start looking at individual things. They have to look at the entire Zoning Ordinance, as you never know where that is going to impact; as a former Council Member said: "The law of unintended consequences."

Ms. Dunn said that one of the things that has not been mentioned here, is health and safety; a lot of communities are looking at digital signs as a health and safety issue in their community. They work-people do watch them; she happened to be driving the other day with her daughter and her friends and they got distracted by something on a sign and that is a concern for her. She knows they work because she knows people look at them but she also has a concern with how distracting they are to drivers along I-15 and I-215. More than anything, she is concerned with what has been mentioned

and that is setting a precedent in the community on our zoning; having said that, she thinks that every person here is a big advocate of the colleges here, of all those businesses, and they want to see those succeed and she knows that it would probably work better to have those signs, but it is a tough thing to do because you're opening it up for changes all over.

Mr. Dredge stated that it could be said that they've put in an onerous requirement here, but he has also heard that when people bought the property, they didn't know it was a G.O. that is one of the responsibilities of a property owner-to know what kind of zone they are moving into and what the allowances and requirements are of that zone. Not meaning to sound callous, but this isn't something that something that has been imposed upon them causing undue harm-it has been there and was established before. Buildings could have been designed to allow for different signage, and he would say to them as a Council, they are not trying to impose anything upon them that wasn't there already when they purchased the property.

Mr. Brass addressed Ms. Leatherman: the 18 ½ pole vs. the 15 pole: that one he does not dispute-this came up when they rebuilt the freeway, extensively raising it along that corridor and impacted several businesses along 4500 South and dropped signs below the freeway; that is something that future Planning Commissions may take a look at to see how that problem can be solved. He agrees with Mr. Dredge that this G.O. has been around for a long time, they dealt with it twelve years ago.

Ms. Dunn stated that there have been some exceptions made for height since the freeway was raised and she can see some of that being done in the future as well.

Mr. Brass said that he feels that somewhere in this there may be a meeting of the minds, but he doesn't know that they are there today.

Mr. Dredge made a motion to deny the ordinance.

Ms. Dunn 2nd the motion.

Call vote recorded by Brent Davidson.

A Ms. Dunn

A Mr. Dredge

A Mr. Stam

A Mr. Brass

A Mr. Shaver

Motion approved 5-0

9.3.1 <u>Staff and sponsor presentations, and public comment prior to Council action on the following matter:</u>

Consider an ordinance enacting Chapter 17.42 of the <u>Murray City Municipal</u> <u>Code</u>, relating to tobacco retailers.

Staff presentation: Tim Tingey, Administrative and Developmental Services

Mr. Tingey stated that there had been a Public Hearing on this on July 7, 2011 with the Planning Commission; this had been originally discussed in Committee of the Whole. The proposal is for a zoning ordinance change which would restrict or provide regulations governing tobacco retailers, setting distance requirements of 1,000' in a straight line from parcel boundaries from where these are located to recreation facilities, residential uses and zones, schools, playgrounds, youth centers, arcades, parks and libraries. It would also limit the number of tobacco retailers that we would have, which would be one per 10,000 citizens.

Just to let everyone know, they looked at the numbers that they have right now-the Council has an attached list-it does not include convenience stores or grocery stores, and that is defined in the ordinance, it would be based on the number of gross sales that they have as well as the percentage of the products that they sell. This would not impact, for example, a Smith's or 7-11 Stores, but it would be for the tobacco retailers where this is their specific product. Currently, there are seven in the City, with 2 applications in waiting for approval on business licenses; So basically we would be at our limit if this ordinance is adopted.

This went to the Planning Commission and they have recommended approval and staff recommends approval as well.

Ms. Dunn stated that they had a resident come and speak to them at the Council meeting earlier this year on this and while visiting another business, there was a fight at a neighboring visit and the police were called in; as she was talking to the managers, they spoke to her about the number of incidences that had occurred since that neighboring business opened less than a year ago. They spoke of the fear of their customers because of those incidences and that got her to thinking and she decided to pay a visit to all of the tobacco retailers in the community just to see what their experience was.

Not only were there the same issues at all of these locations, but there was also an issue of a very accelerated rate of burglaries and robberies at those types of stores, which brings another type of element to our community. The concern of having a tobacco store right next to a school where kids come and go is a real concern to all of them and not something that they want to see in our community. As far as the health and safety of our community, she feels it is warranted for the health and safety of our children in our community and she also believes that having one per 10,000 more than serves the number of people in the community; when you start

getting more than that, then you are just bringing people into the community for that purpose. The crime issue is also a concern-we do not need any increase in crime; she took the liberty of asking the Police Department to put together some numbers on the number of crimes committed in and around those businesses, which she has provided to the Council. The numbers, as compared to the businesses surrounded them or within one or two blocks, the number of incidents where the police were called there were quite a bit higher and for that reason, she is sponsoring this ordinance.

Public Hearing opened for public comment

None

Public comment closed

9.3.2 Council consideration of the above matter.

Mr. Brass made a motion to adopt the ordinance.

Ms. Dunn 2nd the motion.

Call vote recorded by Brent Davidson.

A Ms. Dunn

A Mr. Dredge

A Mr. Stam

A Mr. Brass

A Mr. Shaver

Motion passed 5-0

Ms. Dunn added that this means that no stores that are currently here will be caused to close. They will remain as they are and will close only by attrition.

9.4 <u>Staff and sponsor presentations and public comment prior to Council action</u> on the following matter:

Consider a resolution adopting the 2011 Strom Drainage Master Plan Update, also referred to as the Storm Drain Capital Facilities Plan.

Staff presentation: Doug Hill, Public Services Director

Mr. Hill said that over the past year, the City has been studying what happens when it rains, where that rain goes and where the problems are that occur when the rain comes down too fast. They have also identified areas that need improvement, and how much those improvements will cost; they have put all of this information together into a Storm Water Drainage Capital Facilities Plan. They had a consultant work with them on that, and presented this information to the City Council a couple of weeks ago and went through that in detail with them.

What they are asking the Council to consider is to approve a resolution that would adopt this plan, and then would allow them to move forward on analyzing the fees and rates for storm water, and how they are going to fund these improvements and charge new developers that come into the city-what they should pay when they bring in large parking lots and big roof tops which increases the amount of rainwater that goes into our storm drainage system. Based on what they find out on that fee analysis, they would come back to the City Council with any recommendations on whether or not they need to adjust our fees to accommodate our Capital Storm Drain Plan.

Public Hearing opened for public comment

None given.

Public comment closed

Mr. Brass stated that the EPA requires that the City have this plan; they have spent the past two days talking to utilities-they are required to have an SPCC Plan (Spill Prevention Control and Counter Measures Plan) for power companies in case they spill water out of a transformer; the whole idea is keep oil, fertilizers, dirt, etc., out of the streams, lakes and waterways. They are required to do this, and then it is going to require some upgrading of the storm drain facilities; if we don't, then it has has to be treated which results in tens of millions of dollars that the City would have to spend.

Mr. Shaver agreed, saying that this is one of the hot issues that the City faces regularly, but it is one of those necessities-it is not a joy-ride, it is not something that is fun for the City like a swimming pool, it is something that they have to do.

9.4.2 Council consideration of the above matter.

Ms. Dunn made a motion to adopt the resolution.

Mr. Stam 2nd the motion.

Call vote recorded by Brent Davidson.

A Ms. Dunn

A Mr. Dredge

A Mr. Stam

A Mr. Brass

A Mr. Shaver

Motion passed 5-0

10. <u>UNFINISHED BUSINESS</u>

None scheduled

11. <u>NEW BUSINESS</u>

11.1 Consider a resolution approving the Mayor's appointment of Wendell D. Coombs, Jr. as the City Treasurer.

Staff presentation: Mayor Snarr

Mayor Snarr introduced Mr. Coombs; the Mayor stated that in making this appointment, they went through a thorough search to make sure they got the best qualified people to fill these positions, which are very important for the future success of Murray City. In this case, they came across an individual who has been a long time resident of Murray City, who brings some unique qualifications to the job; having worked in the investment world and also having some management experience, he has a vested interest in the future success of our City.

Mayor Snarr said that he is proud that Mr. Coombs has been more than gracious in accepting this position, and understanding that the City is very important for his family and all those who live here.

Mr. Stam made a motion to approve the appointment. Mr. Brass 2nd the motion.

Call vote recorded by Brent Davidson.

 A
 Ms. Dunn

 A
 Mr. Dredge

 A
 Mr. Stam

A Mr. Brass

A Mr. Shaver

Motion passed 5-0

11.2 Consider a resolution authorizing the execution of an Interlocal Cooperation Agreement between Salt Lake County and Murray City providing partial funds for an additional staff position at the City's Heritage Center.

Staff presentation: Doug Hill, Public Services Director

Mr. Hill stated that as part of the earlier retirement incentive that was offered City employees recently, the Office Administrator at the Heritage Center retired; this is a key position and the Mayor has approved the Heritage Center replacing this position after the payout is recovered. The estimated cost of replacing this position is approximately \$57,000 which includes salary and benefits, which would be paid to a new employee.

Mr. Hill and Ms. Gregory went to Salt Lake County to ask that they help fund this position; the County has agreed to give us \$32,000 this year, and upon request, the City can request the money in future years. If the County were to extend this agreement-which they have indicated to us that they are interested in providing future funding-the Councils cannot be bound by appropriations, it would be an annual request. The County, we hope, would continue to provide us \$32,000 per year to go towards partial funding of this position; the City would be responsible of coming up with the remaining funds.

Mr. Hill pointed out that should the County decide not to fund this position, then the City would be in a position to either increase funding or do something different. They feel quite confident that the County is willing to help out with the funding; the reason that they are confident is that the County does help fund many senior centers across the valley, regardless of whether or not they are a County facilities.

To Ms. Gregory's credit, and her relationship with Salt Lake County and her many years of service in senior work, her reputation has helped the City secure the funding. The City's hope is that this will reduce the period of time to replace this position, as it reduces the payout amount, and that they would be able to get a position over at the Heritage Center sooner than waiting the full length of the payout period.

Because this is an Interlocal Agreement, it requires that the City Council approves it, and that is why it is before the Council tonight.

Mr. Brass made a motion to adopt the resolution	n.
Mr. Dredge 2 nd the motion.	
Call vote recorded by Brent Davidson.	

A	Ms. Dunn
A	Mr. Dredge
<u>A</u>	Mr. Stam
A	Mr. Brass
_A	Mr. Shaver

Motion passed 5-0

11.3 Consider a resolution appointing poll workers for the 2011 Primary and General Election.

Staff presentation: Brent Davidson, Deputy City Recorder

Mr. Davidson asked the Council to approve the resolution appointing the poll workers submitted by the Salt Lake County Elections Division for the 2011 elections.

Ms. Dunn made a motion to adopt the resolution.

Mr. Brass 2nd the motion.

Call vote recorded by Brent Davidson.

<u>A</u>	Ms. Dunn
A	Mr. Dredge
A	Mr. Stam
A	Mr. Brass
Α	Mr. Shaver

Motion passed 5-0

12. MAYOR

12.1 Mayor's Report

Mayor Snarr stated that most people are aware that tomorrow they will begin working with the Strategic Planning process; we have the Novak Group coming in from North Carolina-they are an excellent group that will help us provide a vision of the future for the City, our goal setting, and what we need to do to move our city forward so that when the future does arrive, we are there to greet it.

Metro Fire is coordinating an effort to get grant money from several existing sources to build an Emergency Response Training Center on property owned by Sandy City; Metro is working very well and they are confident that the members that are still part of Metro have the ability to work together. Sharing training equipment and purchasing has been helpful to all eight cities, and this is a funding issue that they are trying to work on.

725 East has been paved and they are now working on Rothmore as well; the waterline project on 900 East has begun and will continue down Vine Street and 5900 South and hopefully the paving will be completed this fall.

Mayor Snarr said that they are hopeful that Jordan Valley Water Conservancy District will be able to finish up some of these projects. If they don't, Mr. Hill has indicated that the City has a drop deadline and there is a lot of work that the City has to do, even on a grind and overlay, but if they can't complete it in time, the City will ask them to go ahead and patch what they have done rather than us doing a nice clean job, we will have to go back sometime next year and grind and overlay it then. It would be wise for them if they could push it and get it done, because it would save them some money.

The Mayor has spoken with Larry H. Miller Lexus and they have indicated that they are still moving forward with the building of the new Lexus dealership; they hope to start after they get the relocation of the Honda dealership completed. They have said that they are going to make this 'the elite of all Lexus dealerships' in Utah. They are trying to acquire the property-it is a real issue because they have the used car dealership that has been phenomenally successful and it has done very well there; they have spent a lot of money there repaving and fixed the curbing and landscaping. It is a nice facility but ultimately that facility has to go to accommodate the new Lexus dealership, and if they can acquire the other property, they would make that the used car dealership; that property is just south of the current Chevrolet dealership is, where they acquired that road and blocked it off at Rose Circle. Mayor Snarr thanked Mr. Tingey and Mr. Wilkinson for their hard work on these projects.

12.2 Questions of the Mayor

Mr. Shaver asked how the 4800 South project was going.

Mayor Snarr said that he has seen them lay asphalt in October if it stays warm enough; water has been one of the challenges on that project as we have had more than our share of rain this year. Hopefully it will get done soon.

Mr. Brass stated that it has gone very well; the one thing is when they cut the lines across Atwood-he didn't see that one coming, but they have done a very good job. There are four jurisdictions that have plumbing under that road, and even with that, it has gone very well.

13. ADJOURNMENT